

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/030,736	AHN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	S. B. McCormick-Ewoldt	1655	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) S. B. McCormick-Ewoldt.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James Rhee.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 February 2006

**Time:** 9:20

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant pointed out to Examiner that the status of the action was marked both "Final" and "Non-final" on the Office Action Summary. A new Office Action Summary will be sent out with this action.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

S.B. McCormick-Ewoldt  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)